IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY Digital Repository

[Retrospective Theses and Dissertations](https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/rtd?utm_source=lib.dr.iastate.edu%2Frtd%2F14752&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

[Iowa State University Capstones, Theses and](https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/theses?utm_source=lib.dr.iastate.edu%2Frtd%2F14752&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) **[Dissertations](https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/theses?utm_source=lib.dr.iastate.edu%2Frtd%2F14752&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)**

1930

Reactions of inorganic compounds with liquid hydrogen sulfide

Harlan Preston Guest *Iowa State College*

Follow this and additional works at: [https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/rtd](https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/rtd?utm_source=lib.dr.iastate.edu%2Frtd%2F14752&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) Part of the [Inorganic Chemistry Commons](http://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/137?utm_source=lib.dr.iastate.edu%2Frtd%2F14752&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Recommended Citation

Guest, Harlan Preston, "Reactions of inorganic compounds with liquid hydrogen sulfide" (1930). *Retrospective Theses and Dissertations*. 14752. [https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/rtd/14752](https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/rtd/14752?utm_source=lib.dr.iastate.edu%2Frtd%2F14752&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

This Dissertation is brought to you for free and open access by the Iowa State University Capstones, Theses and Dissertations at Iowa State University Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Retrospective Theses and Dissertations by an authorized administrator of Iowa State University Digital Repository. For more information, please contact digirep@iastate.edu.

INFORMATION TO USERS

This manuscript has been reproduced from the microfilm master. UMl films the text directly from the original or copy submitted. Thus, some thesis and dissertation copies are in typewriter face, while others may be from any type of computer printer.

The quality of this reproduction **is dependent** upon **the quality of the copy** submitted. Broken or indistinct print, colored or poor quality illustrations and photographs, print bleedthrough, substandard margins, and improper alignment can adversely affect reproduction.

In the unlikely event that the author did not send UMl a complete manuscript and there are missing pages, these will be noted. Also, if unauthorized copyright material had to be removed, a note will indicate the deletion.

Oversize materials (e.g., maps, drawings, charts) are reproduced by sectioning the original, beginning at the upper left-hand corner and continuing from left to right in equal sections with small overlaps.

> ProQuest Information and Learning 300 North Zeeb Road, Ann Arbor, Ml 48106-1346 USA 800-521-0600

> > UMI

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) \leq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) \leq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$ ~ 40 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$ $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$

 $\frac{1}{2} \epsilon$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \,$

 \sim

 $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$ $\frac{d}{dt}$ $\langle \frac{1}{2} \rangle$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$

NOTE TO USERS

This reproduction is the best copy available.

UMI®

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$ $\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{M}} &\geq \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{M}}\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{M}}\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{M}}\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{M}}\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{M}}\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{M}}\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{M}}\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{M}}\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{M}}\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{M}}\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{M}}\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{M}}\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{M}}\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{M}}\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{M}}\mathbf$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) \leq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) \leq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$. In the contribution of $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$ $\frac{1}{4}$ $\frac{1}{4}$ $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \Delta_{\rm{max}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\sigma_i} \sum_{i=$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

Т.,

Ţ

REACTIONS OF INORGANIC COMPOUNDS WITH LIQUID HYDROGEN SULFIDE

BY

Harlan Preston Guest

A Thesis Submitted to the Graduate Faculty

for the Degree of

DOGTOR- OF PHILOSOPHT

Itajor Subject ~ Inorganic Ohemistry

Approved

Signature was redacted for privacy.

In charge of Major Work.

Signature was redacted for privacy.

Head of Major Department.

Signature was redacted for privacy.

Dean of Graduate College.

Iowa State Gollege

1930

 $\label{eq:1} \frac{1}{\log\delta} \leq \frac{1}{\log\delta} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\log\delta} \sum_{i=1}^{N}$

UMI Number; DP14450

UMl^

UMl Microform DP14450

Copyright 2006 by ProQuest Information and Learning Company. All rights reserved. This microform edition is protected against unauthorized copying under Title 17, United States Code.

> ProQuest Information and Learning Company 300 North Zeeb Road P.O. Box 1346 Ann Arbor, Ml 48106-1346

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This occasion is taken to acknowledge the kindly assistance and the many timely suggestions of Dr. J. A. Wilkinson under whose supervision the work reported here has been done.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

 $-3-$

 $\frac{1}{2}$

 \mathcal{L}_{max} and \mathcal{L}_{max} and \mathcal{L}_{max} $\label{eq:2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$

 $\label{eq:1.1} \frac{1}{\left\| \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^2 \right\|_{\mathcal{H}^1} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^2 \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{1}{$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))$. The contribution of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) \leq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) \leq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and the contract of the contrac

and the control of the control of

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}$

 $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$, $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$

 $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$

 $\label{eq:2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^N\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^N\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^N\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^N\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^N\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^N\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^N\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^N\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^N\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^N\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^N\frac{1$

 $\sim 10^{-10}$

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$

 $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$

I. REACTIONS WITH LIQUID HYDROGEN SULFIDE

Introduction

It has been found that liquid hydrogen sulfide is a rather poor solvent for inorganic substances altho it is an excellent one for organic. In the work from this laboratory Quam (1) determined the solubility and reactivity of over one-hundred and fifty elements, salts and organic materials. In the field of inorganic substances this work has been extended by Halston (2) to cowr a large number of chlorides which he found to fall into three general classes according to their reactivity, those that form addition products which may or may not be soluble, those that undergo thiohydrolysis, and those in which reduction of the halide occurs. Satwalekar (3) carried out an extensive study of the reaction of metals and oxides. His results show that the majority of the metallic elements slowly react at room temperature to form a hydrosulfide or a sulfide while the oxides are more reactive, some of them reacting rapidly at -77° O and most of them at room temperature to form sulfides; altho neither

1. Quam, J. Am. Chem. Soc., $\underline{47}$, 103-8 (1925) 2. Ralston and Wilkinson, $1b\overline{1d}$, 50 , $258-64$ (1928) 3, Satwalekar, Doctoral Thesis, Iowa state College (1928) the metals, oxides nor their sulfide products were soluble in the solvent.

 ϵ In the field of organic chemistry Ralston (1) studied the thiohydrolysis of nitriles and esters. Meints (2) the preparation of thio acids and thio aldehydes in liquid hydrogen sulfide, while Borgeson (3) carried out a more general study of the reactions of the various classes of organic compounds. The latter found that all classes of organic compounds, except the sugars and salts, wexe soluble in liquid hydrogen sulfide while several classes, namely, the unsaturated hydrocarbons and unsaturated groups in general, the aldehydes, ketones, nitriles, esters, acyl halides, amines and the Grignard reagent react with the solvent. A further study of the reaction of the Grignard reagent has been carried out by King (4) who interpreted the results of his analysis in the following manner: the thio basic magnesium halide is first formed which immediately loses a molecule of hydrogen sulfide giving the condensed product which is relatively unstable and steadily loses: hydrogen sulfide.

1. Ralston, Doctoral Thesis, Iowa State Oollege (1927) 2. Meints and Wilkinson, J. Am. Chem. Soe., $\underline{\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}}$, 803 (1929) 1. Ralston, Doctoral Thesis, Iowa State College (1927
2. Meints and Wilkinson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 51, 803 (
3. Borgeson and Wilkinson, ibid, 51, 1453-6 (1930)
4. King, Doctoral Thesis, Iowa State College (1930)

⊶⊙ື

If the thio magnesium halide is prepared from concentrated reagents a material low in sulfur is obtained.

Purpose of the Investigation

The purpose of this investigation is the preparation of inorganic thio compounds with liquid hydrogen sulfide.

Preparation of Liquid Hydrogen Sulfide

The apparatus used in the preparation of liquid hydrogen sulfide was a modification of that employed by Quam (1). The improved apparatus, shown in Fig. 1, the process of liquifaction, the details of manipulation and the subsequent handling of storage and reaction tubes has been fully described by Meints (2). Only a brief Rescription of the apparatus will be given here. It can be divided into three sections, the generator for the preparation of gaseous hydrogen sulfide; the drying train to remove all moisture; and, the liquefaction coil and reservoir with the arrangement for the drawing off the liquid hydrogen sulfide, The drying train consists of two Drechsel wash bottles, (K_1) and (K_2) , filled with water, and six drying towers, (L_1) and (L_2) filled with calcium chloride, (N_1) and (N_2) with aluminium sulfide,

1. Quam, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 47, 103-8 (1925) 2. Meints, Unpublished Masters Thesis, Iowa State College (1928)

 $-8-$

 (O_1) and (O_2) with phosphorus pentoxide.

Phosphorus Pentoxide as a Drying Agent for Hydrogen Sulfide

Lilienfeld and White (3), after performing the following experiment, conclude that phosphorus pentoxide is unfit to use as a dryer of hydrogen sulfide as it oxidizes this gas to sulfur dioxide. They passed a stream of hydrogen sulfide thru a drying tube containing phosphorus pentoxide for ten minutes. The gas from the drying tube was led into a sodium hydroxide solution. The phosphorus pentoxide was washed out with water and When the carbon extracted with carbon disulfide. disulfide was allowed to evaporate from an evaporating dish, yellow crystals of sulfur appeared. The sodium hydroxide solution was treated with dilute hydrochloric acid until neutral. The sulfide ions were precipitated with an excess of cadmium nitrate and the cadmium sulfide removed by filtration. The filtrate being tested with sodium nitroprusside to see if all sulfide ions were precipitated. The filtrate was found to decolorize malachite green solution (0.025 g. in 300 cc. of water), 3. Lilienfeld and White, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 52, 887 (1730)

 $-10-$

and when barium nitrate was added a white precipitate formed. The precipitate dissolved in hydrochloric acid and the resulting solution decolorized iodine solution. They said that these tests indicated the presence of a sulfite.

This work was repeated in this laboratory along with a check run on hydrogen sulfide that had not been passed thru phosphorus pentoxide. The hydrogen sulfide was generated by the action of hydrochloric acid on iron sulfide in a Kipp generator and passed thru a Drechsel wash bottle half full of water to remove any hydrochloric acid carried over by the gas. The gas was divided into approximately equal parts, by means of a «T" tube and a pinch clamp^ one part passed thru a drying tube containing phosphorus pentoxide into a sodium hydroxide solution while the other part passed directly into another sodium hydroxide solution. The phosphorus pentoxide was washed out with water and extracted with carbon disulfide. A fresh portion of phosphorus pentoxide, which had not been used, was dissolved in water and extracted with carbon disulfide. When the carbon disulfide was allowed to evaporate no difference could be noticed in the amount of residue left.

^11-

The two sodium hydroxide solutions were divided into equal parts and neutralized with dilute hydrochloric acid. The sulfide ions were precipitated from one of the parts of each solution with cadmium nitrate and from the others with cadmium chloride, The filtrates gave no test for sulfide ions with sodium nitroprueside and all decolorised malachite green solution. But the filtrates were now acid due to the formation of free nitric and hydroohlorio acid in the respective solutions in the precipitation reaction. The solutions were again neutralised, this time with dilute sodium hydroxide, and upon testing with malachite green solution they did not decolorise it. It was further found that malachite green solution was decolorized by either dilute acid or base.

The above solutions were made alkaline with sodium hydroxide and concentrated. After being neutralized with hydrochloric acid, barium nitrate was added. The white granular precipitate formed was soluble in hydrochloric acid but would not decolorize a small drop of 0.01 normal iodine solution.

From these results it is apparent that the tests employed by Lilienfeld and White do not indicate

 $-12-$

the presence of a sulfite and we may conclude that phosphorus pentoxide can be used as a drying agent for hydrogen sulfide.

II. REACTION OF LIQUID HYDROGEN SULFIDE ON CALCIUM OXIDE AND CARBIDE

Experimental

Materials:

CaO - prepared by dissolving precipitated calcium carbonate in hydrochloric acid, made slightly alkaline with ammonium hydroxide, filtered, the caloium precipitated as the oxalate with ammonium oxalate, filtered and ignited to the cxide at 900°C in a platinum dish.

 $GaO₂$ - National Carbide Sales Corporation. Bv analysis 95 - 96% $0a0_2$.

Procedure and Observations:

The reactions were carried out in 20.5 x 2.5 cm. pyrex test tubes enclosed in heavy steel cylinders. Each cylinder had a needle valve in the cap. Five to ten grams of the solid was placed in the test tube which was then cooled to -77°C and about 20 cc. of liquid hydrogen sulfide added. The tube was transferred quickly to the steel eylinder and the cap screwed down. After two weeks or more the needle valve was opened allowing the excess hydrogen sulfide to escape, and the product removed.

The product from the hydrogen sulfide treatment of the calcium oxide was a light pink or flesh colored, fluffy substance which was analyzed immediately as it was rather unstable giving off hydrogen sulfide and on longer standing liberating sulfur. On treatment with hydroohloric acid a violent evolution of hydrogen sulfide took place.

The product from the calcium carbide was a light yellow powder with a mercaptan odor. Upon extraction with anhydrous ether the odor was removed and a white powder remained. The powder did not yield acetylene with water and gave off hydrogen sulfide on treatment with dilute aoid. The ether extract was light yellow, after distilling off the ether a small quantity of yellow liquid remained which turned red on further heating. On standing in a tightly stoppered bottle, the unextracted I product turned a reddish brown while the extracted material slowly changed from white to greenish yellow; **ⁱ**in both cases sulfur was liberated.

Analysis of Products

I

i

I

j

i

i

]

I

i 1

The calcium was determined by precipitating it as the oxalate with ammonium oxalate from a slightly ammoniacal solution, dissolving in dilute sulfuric acid

«1&-

and titrating with standard potassium permanganate solution.

Sulfur was determined either by the evolution method oz by oxidation to sulfate with liquid bsrqmine in potassium hydroxide solution, precipitating and weighing as barium sulfate.

In the ewlution method the weighed sample was placed in a 500 cc. conical flask fitted with a three hole rubber stopper carrying a 125 cc. dropping funnel, a tube with a stop-cock and another tube bent at right angles to the flask. The tube with the stopcock extended almost to the bottom of the flask and was connected with a cylinder of nitrogen which was used to sweep the liberated hydrogen sulfide from the flask. The exit tube was connsoted to a tall 300 co. Brechsel wash bottle containing 100 ec, of aamoniacai cadmium chloride solution. Dilute hydrochloric acid was added from the dropping funnel causing a vigorous svolution of hydrogen sulfide which was absorbed by the cadmium chloride solution forming cadmium sulfide. The solution in the flask was boiled for a few minutes and nitrogen was allowed to pass slowly thru the system to insure the removal of any residual hydrogen

• -.16^

sulfide that might remain in the flask. The excess ammonia in the Drechsel wash bottle was neutralized with hydrochloric acid, an excess of standard iodine solution added, followed by additional hydrochloric acid to decompose completely the sulfide. The excess of iodine was titrated with standard sodium thiosulfate solution.

Consistent results were not obtained in the analysis of the prodaot from the reaction between liquid hydrogen sulfide and calcium oxide, as is shown by the following table:

* the analysis was run on this tube after it had stood in a desiccator over sulfuric acid for two weeks.

** this result was obtained by the Carius method.

 $-18-$

An attempt was made to determine the ratio between calcium and sulfur by placing an unweighed sample immediately into potassium hydroxide solution and analyzing aliquot parts. From the results obtained on three different samples the ratio of sulfur to calcium was calculated to be 1 to 1.149; 1 to 1.136; 1 to 1.123. The sulfur in the first ratio was determined by the evolution method while the last two were by oxidation with liquid bromine and weighing as barium sulfate.

The results from the analysis of the product from the calcium carbide are shown in the following table:

Tube #5.

50.90

 $-19-$

^t this is an analysis on the unextracted sample. sulfur determined by the evolution method. ** this sample was extracted once with ether, sulfur by the evolution method.

*** samples extracted three or four times with ether, sulfur determined by the potassium hydroxide - liquid bromine method.

Discussion

The product from the reaction between liquid hydrogen sulfide and calcium ox ide was unstable giving off hydrogen sulfide on standing and therefore was not dried. Water was probably the other product from the reaction and could easily have interfered with the analysis. It is also possible that the water formed in the reaction slowly hydrolyzed the product, causing it to give off hydrogen sulfide. In order to test this assumption the work on calcium carbide was taken up in which it was hoped that the other product of the reaction would not interfere with the compound being analyzed.

Considering the fact that the calcium carbide used was not over 95 - 96% pure, (the purity was determined from the percentage calcium and the amount of residue insoluble in dilute acid) it is probable that calcium sulfide is the solid formed in this reaction. Quam (1), who did not separate the reaction products, states that his observations indicate the possibility of the formation of a thioacetaldehyde as described in Richter's Organic Chemistry I, 202. This would apply to the yellow liquid with the mercaptan odor formed in the reaction.

1. Quam, Doctoral Thesis, Iowa State College (1924)

 $-20-$

III. PREPARATION OF THIO-CARBONATES

Experimental

Materials

Nag^G.9H₂O - O P crystals $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{S}$ - fused lump OaS - prepared in part II Mi_{τ} - commercial cylinder C3₂ - O P Mallinckrodt Chemical Works

Procedure and Observations

The reactions were carried out in large size storage tubes. About 10 cc. of liquid hydrogen sulfide were transferred to the tubes, an equal amount of carbon disulfide added and then three or four grams of the solid sulfide. In the case of ammonium sulfide about 10 cc. of liguid ammonia were added. The tubes were scaled and observed over a period of several months.

Potassium and calcium sulfides do not form thiocarbonates under these conditions.

Hydrated sodium sulfide formed two layers in the tube, the top layer being clear while the small bottom layer was orange red. Both layers were liquids. On cooling the bottom layer solidified and was found to be sodium thio-carbonate dissolved in the water of crystalization of the sodium sulfide. The top layer was the hydrogen sulfide and excess carbon disulfide.

In order to determine if either solid potassium hydroxide or hydrogen chloride gas would catalyze the reaction other tubes were sealed containing them in small amounts. The same results were obtained as above.

This reaction was carried out in absolute alcobol solution. The hydrogen sulfide was dissolved in alcohol, carbon disulfide in alcohol added, the resulting solution was clear. One tube of this solution was sealed and was unchanged after standing for a month. When liquid ammonia was added a rather vigorous reaction took place with the formation of a yellow solid. The tubes were sealed and placed in the storage tank. In two days the solid and liquid had changed to an orange red color. On cooling to -77° C the solid became lighter in color and a yellow solid separated out of the liquid. The tube was opened and washed out with ether. The orange solid decomposed fairly rapidly in air giving off hydrogen sulfide and

 $-22 -$

ammonia. It was soluble in water and gave the following reactions: dilute silver mitrate - reddish brown precinitate turning dark on standing; dilute lead acetate - red precipitate turning black on standing: dilute ferric hydroxide - an intense red color giving a greenish black precipitate on standing; dilute cupric acetate - a red color and some reddish brown precipitate; dilute acetic acid - decomposed giving off hydrogen sulfide and the solution was yellow finally going to colorless. On standing for several days under a mixture of alcohol and ether. in a bottle with a one hole stopper carrying a drying tube containing anhydrous calcium chloride, the orange solid gave off hydrogen sulfide forming a more stable crystalline body.

This new product did not further decompose when kept under ether. It was soluble in water and gave the following reactions: dilute lead acetate yellow precipitate; dilute silver nitrate - yellow precipitate turning dark; dilute cupric acetate yellow precipitate turning dark; dilute ferric hydroxide - intense red color giving a greenish black precipitate on standing. It is soluble in alcohol and can be recrystallized from it by ether, giving a white

 -23

flocculent solid which gave the same reactions as the yellow solid. It gradually decomposes in contact with air giving off hydrogen sulfide, ammonia and carbon disulfide.

Analysis of Product

The compound gave the following analysis:

which agrees very closely with ammonium dithic carbamate, (NH_A) $OS₂$ (NH₂), which has $25.47%$ nitrogen and 58.19% sulfur.

Nitrogenwas determined by the Kjeldahl method and sulfur by that of Carius.

<u>Discussion</u>

According to Mellor (1) Zeise (2) found that ammonium thiocarbonate when left with alcohol in a closed vessel decomposed according to the following equation,

 $(m_{4})_{2}$ cs₃ = (m_{4}) cs₂(m_{2}) + m_{3} s.

1. Mellor, A Comprehensive Treatise on Inorganic and
Theoretical Ohemistry VI, 122 (1925 Ed.).
2. Zeise, Ann. chim. phys., (2) 26, 66 (1824)

From this it is apparent that the orange colored compound formed is ammonium thiocarbonate and the reaction taking place in the sealed tube is probably

 $-35 - 1$

 $\text{EMH}_3 + \text{US}_3^2 + \text{US}_3^2 = (\text{NH}_4)_2 \text{CS}_3,$

which on standing under a mixture of alcohol and ether breaks down into ammonium dithio carbamate and hydrogen sulfide.

IV. REACTION OF LIQUID HYDROGEN SULFIDE WITH LIQUID SULFUR DIOXIDE

Experimental

Materials

 $SO_2 - a$ cylinder of liquid from Wilkens-Anderson Company.

Procedure and Observations

The reaction was carried out in large storage These tubes were cleaned with chromic acid mbes. solution, washed with distilled water and dried with alcohol and ether. The ether fumes were driven out by passing a stream of air. dried by phosphorus pentoxide. thru them. They were then placed in the cooling bath of ether and solid carbon dioxide.

The sulfur dioxide was dried by passing it thru \sim a drying tower containing phosphorus pentoxide and liguified in a large test tube placed in a cooling bath of ether and solid carbon dioxide.

About 5 cc. of the liguid sulfur dioxide were transferred from the test tube to each of the two reaction tubes in the cooling bath and about 10 cc. of

liquid hydrogen sulfide added. The sulfur dioxide soon solidified and two layers were formed in the tube. Two hours later the tubes were carefully sealed and annealed. At this time there was a little sulfur on the surface of the solid sulfur dioxide where the hydrogen sulfide came in contact with it. The tubes were wranped in towels and placed in the storage tank. A few minutes later the first tube placed in the tank was shattered by the excessive pressure in the tube and then the second. The interval between the explosions very nearly corresponded to the time required to take the tube from the cooling bath, wrap it in a towel and place it in the storage tank.

In order to watch the reaction another tube was cleaned and dried in the same manner except that it was placed in an electric oven for two hours at 140°C while the stream of dry air was passing thru it to insure the removal of all the ether vapors. It was then allowed to cool to room temperature with the dryair still passing than and placed in the cooling bath. About 5 cc. of sulfur dioxide was liquified directly in the tube and twice as much liquid hydrogen sulfide added. The tube was carefully sealed and annealed.

 $-27 -$

Again there was a slight deposit of sulfur at the interface of the solid sulfur dioxide and liquid hydrogen sulfide which did not appear to increase on standing in the cooling bath for twelve hours.

In order that the tube could be observed from this point it was not placed in the storage tank but in a tall liter beaker filled with kerosene. This was placed behind a heavy glass screen to protect the observer from flying glass. The purpose of the kerosene was to prevent moisture from condensing and freezing on the surface of the tube and thus make it impossible to further observe the contents of the tube. About four minutes after the tube was placed in the bath more yellow solid began to form, then in the next five seconds the contents appeared to boil with a large amount of yellow solid forming in the body of the liquid and the tube exploded.

To arrive at an approximate value for the temperature at which the reaction took place, tubes of ether and alcohol were cooled to the temperature of the cooling bath $(-77^{\circ}0)$. These were placed in a kerosene bath at room temperature and the time noted for the rise to 0°C. The average determined by several runs

-28-

was three and one-half minutes.

Discussion

Anhydrous liquid hydrogen sulfide and liquid sulfur dioxide react vigorously with the formation of free sulfur in a sealed tube, which is in agreement with the work of Quam (1). The exact temperature at which the reaction took place was not determined but by a comparative method it was found to be above O°C.

Pressure, which depends upon the temperature, must have a marked influence on the reaction as Mathews (2) found that there was no reaction at the temperature of solid carbon dioxide and that in an open vessel the liquids boiled away completely without action when the temperature was raised.

Antony and Magri (3) state that there must be an ionizing liquid present to bring about the reaction between the liguids. Mathews (2) carried out experiments with hydrogen sulfide and sulfur dioxide in both the liquid and gaseous state in the presence of a third substance. He found that substances that brought about the reaction of the gases at ordinary temperature. 1. Quam. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 47, 103-8 (1925)
2. Mathews, J. Chem. Soc., 129, 2270-3 (1926)
3. Antony and Magri, Gazz. Chim. 1tal., 35, 206-26 (1905)

 $-29-$

as liquids, had no effect on the liquid mixture if they were solids at the temperature of solid carbon dioxide. For example: ice, aniline, benzaldehyde, allyl alcohol and glycerol which are solids at this temperature bring about no reaction, altho at ordinary temperatures. when liquid, they cause immediate reaction; while ether, alcohol, acetone and substances that are liquids at both temperatures cause immediate reaction.

Biltz and Keuneche (1) did not get sulfur dioxide and liquid hydrogen sulfide to react as stated by Quam (2) and say that this might be due to the degree of dryness or that they worked at lower temperatures or their observations were over a shorter period of time.

In their investigation Lang and Carson (3) found that a reaction took place forming free sulfur Their reaction was carried out in a sealed and water. tube but they do not state the temperature and say that the drying of the gases probably was not thorough enough.

. In this laboratory precautions were taken to insure the dryness of the gases and all alcohol and ether vapors were driven out of the reaction tubes. Biltz and Keuneche, 2. anorg. allgem. chem., 147, 2. $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{4}$, $\frac{1}{4}$, $\frac{1}{2}$,

 $-30 -$

-31

V. REACTION OF LIQUID HIDROGEN SULFIDE

EXERPT CXYCHILORIERS

Experimental

Enterinla

3001, - two samples used, one propared by Mr. Gittene in this laboratory, the other from Sastman Kodek Company.

> SO. Cl., - Sastman Kodak Compeny. NOC1 - prepared in this laboratory. POCI. - prepared in this laboratory. $B_0 = -$

VOCI_Z - Mr. F. M. Brown and Mr. Griffitts.

Procedure and Chaervaiions

When the thionyl chloride prepared in this laboratory was used, the reaction with liquid hydrogen sulfide was rapid and the contents of the tubes solidified in the form of a white solid. The reaction tubes were sealed and placed in the storage tank. In two days three layers had formed in the tubes, the top layer was a clear liquid, the middle a white suspension and in the bottom a heavy yellow liquid had started to form. A week after the tubes had been soal-

ed only two liquid layers were present. The clear layer had disappeared while the bottom layer had increased and some free sulfur had been formed. On cooling to -77° 0 both layers solidified.

Using the thionyl chloride from Eastman Kodak Company there was no reaction at -77° C and the liquids were miscible. On raising the temperature to the boiling point of the liquid hydrogen sulfide and shaking the reaction started forming a white suspension. The tubes were cooled, sealed and placed in the storage tank. Several tubes were shattered due to the high pressure caused by the heat of the reaction and the hydrogen chloride formed. On standing several months more free sulfur separated out at the expense of the yellow liquid layer.

The tubes were cooled and opened. The excess pressure in the tubes was due to the presence of hyd x^{q-} gen chloride gas. After allowing the excess hydrogen sulfide to evaporate the two layers were separated. The top layer consisted of hydrogen chloride in water which on standing became clear with a small amount of sulfur settling out. The bottom layer consisted of free sulfur and a yellow oily liquid. The mixture was

"" 32"

filtered thru a Gooch to remove the orystals of sulfur. The liquid decomposed on heating. An attempt was made to distill it at 4 mm. pressure but it decomposed giving off hydrogen sulfide from the outlet valve of the oil pump and leaving a residue of sulfur in the distilling flask. It was soluble in carbon disulfide. chloroform, and benzene and insoluble in alcohol and ether. Alcohol rapidly decomposed it while ether hastened the decomposition. The specific gravity of the impure product was found to be 1.80. The odor was similar to that of hydrogen persulfide.

Sulfuryl chloride was miscible with liquid hydrogen sulfide and the reaction did not start while standing in the cooling bath for three hours with the temperature being raised to the boiling point of liquid hydrogen sulfide several times and shaking. The tubes were sealed and placed in the storage tank. About six hours later one of the tubes was shattered by the pressure generated in the reaction. Some of the yellow oil formed in the reaction, which was similar to that formed in the reaction with thionyl chloride, was found on the towel that the tube was wrapped in and on the surface of the water in the storage tank.

 $-33-$

The next day two layers had formed in the other tubes, the top being a white suspension and the bottom being a heavy yellow oil. The products were identified as free sulfur, water, hydrogen chloride and hydrogen persulfide.

Hitrosyl chlorido reacts vigorously with liquid hydrogen sulfide at -77° C. giving off white fumes of hydrogen chloride and forming a greenish yellow solid, The tube was sealed and placed in the storage tank. On standing the solid changed to a yellow color and a small amount of yellow oily liquid separated out. After the tube was opened and the excess hydrogen sulfide evaporated, the yellow solid was found to be sulfur while the yellow oil was similar to that formed in the preceeding reactions.

With selenium oxychloride a very vigorous reaction took place giving off white fumes. A yellow solid was formed and the liquid was dark red. On standing the liqpid beeame colorless and the solid a burnt orange. The products of the reaction were similar to those above and in addition free selenium separated out.

Phosphorus oxychloride is miscible with liquid hydrogen sulfide at room temperature but

 $-34-$

I

separates out as white crystals on cooling to -77° C. The reaction was very slow with the formation of a little yellow solid.

With vanadyl trichloride a vigorous reaction took place forming a wine colored solid. On standing the solid changed to a brown color. Excessive pressure in the tube was due to the pressure of hydrogen chloride. Crystals of sulfur could be separated from the solid. On exposure to air the solid turned dark. This reaction was also carried out by dissolving the vanadyl trichloride in anhydrous benzene before adding it to the liquid hydrogen sulfide. The reaction was not as vigorous and free sulfur was not detected in the product. The product was washed with benzene. dried for five minutes at 90 - 95 $^{\circ}$ 0 and by analysis was shown to contain vanadium, sulfur and chlorine. The analysis for these elements did not total 100% and the difference was too great to be due to oxygen. The following results were obtained in the analysis:

 $-35 -$

 $-36 -$

$\text{total} = 81.00\%$

The vanadium was determined by dissolving the sample with nitric acid, taking it down to white fumes with sulfuric acid, oxidation with permangenate, reduction with sulfur dioxide and titration with standard notassium permanganate. The sulfur was determined by oxidation with liquid bromine in potassium hydroxide solution, precipitating and weighing as barium sulfate. The chlorine was determined by dissolving in potassium hydroxide solution, neutralizing with nitric acid, boiling to decompose any possible sulfide and precipitating and weighing as silver chloride.

Discussion

The reactions between liquid hydrogen sulfide and the oxychlorides of phosphorus, sulfur and selenium have been previously studied by Quam (1). He states that the product of the reaction with phosphorus oxychloride is a sulfide of phosphorus. As only a small amount of yellow solid was formed in the present work it was not analyzed. With thionyl chloride he gives 1. Quam, Doctoral Thesis, Iowa State College (1924)

the products as hydrogen chloride and sulfur chloride. With sulfuryl chloride, free sulfur, sulfur dioxide in **^I**water, hydrogen chloride and sulfur monochloride. In the present study, the yellow oil formed was not soluble in alcohol or ether as is sulfur monochloride but is decomposed by them. It had the solubility, odor, color and specific gravity of hydrogen persulfide as found by King (1) and was compared with some that he prepared. In the case of sulfuryl chloride, sulfur dioxide in water could not have been one of the products if an excess of liquid hydrogen sulfide was present as it has been shown to react (2) , forming free sulfur **ⁱ**and Water, especially in the presence of water (3), $(4).$

f

I

1

i

i

^ISelenium oxychloride and nitrosyl chloride gave similar reactions with the formation of free selenium and probably free nitrogen respectively in addition to the above products. No test was run on the is a set of the set of gases formed in the reaction with nitrosyl chloride for nitrogen.

1, King, Doctoral Thesis, Iowa State College (1930) 2. Quam, J. Am. Chem. Soo., 47 , 103-8 (1925) 3. Antony and Magri, Gazz, chim, ital., 35 , 206-26 (1905) 4, Mathews, J. Ohem. Soc., 139. 2270-3 (1926)

 $-37-$

$-38-$

A possible mechanism for these reactions, using thionyl chloride as an example, may be:

 $500L_2$ + $3H_2S$ = H_3O + 3S + 2HOL

 $H_2S + TS = H_3S_X$

It is possible that the hyrochloric acid formed and the pressure developed may catalyze the second reaction.

The only product identified from the reaction with vanadyl trichloride was hydrogen chloride.

VI. GENERAL SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The foregoing pages contain an account of the results of the present investigation. It has been shown:

> (a) that the tests employed by Lilienfeld and White (1) do not indicate the presence of sulfur dioxide in hydrogen sulfide dried with phosphorus pentoxide.

(b) that calcium sulfide is the product formed in the reaction between liquin #vdrogen sulfide and calcium carbide.

(c) that thiocerbonates are not formed in liquid hydrogen sulfide from the sulfide and carbon disulfide. In alcohol solution ammonium thiocarbonate is formed which decomposes when kept under alcohol and ether into ammonium dithio carbamate and hydrogen sulfide.

(d) that liquid hydrogen sulfide and sulfur dioxide react vigorously in the liquid state in a sealed tube at about O°C or above

1. Lilienfeld and White, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 52, 887 (1930)

-39-

with the formation of free sulfur and water. The pressure exerted by the liquids at this temperature plays an important part in the reaction.

(e) that the oxyohlorides of nitrogen, sulfur and selenium react vigorously with liquid hydrogen sulfide with the formation of hydrogen persulfide, water, hydrogen chloride and the free elements. A mechanism is suggested for the reaction.

VII. BIBLIOGRAPHY

- l. Regnault, Acide sulfhydrique. Mem. Acad. sci. Inst. de France, 26, 612-8 (1862).
- Skilling, The dissociating power of hydrogen $2.$ sulfide. Am. Chem. Jour., 26, 383-4 (1901).
- Moissan, Uber das Aumonium. Chem. Centr., I, 296 $3.$ (1902) .
- Baud, Combinaisons de l'hydrogène sulfuré avec le 4. chlorure d'aluminium anhydre. Compt. rend., 134, 1429-31 (1902).
- De Forcrand and Fonzes-Diacon, Comparaison entre 5. propriétés de l'hydrogéne sélénie et celles de l'hydrogéne sulfure. Compt. rend., 134. 281-3 (1902) .
- De Forcrand, Sur la composition des hydrates de $6.$ gaz. Compt. rend., 135, 959-61 (1902).
- 7. Walker, McIntosh and Archibald, Ionization and phemical combination in the liquified halogen hydrides and hydrogen sulfide. J. Chem. Soc., 85, 1098-1105 (1904).
- 8. Maintosh and Steel, On the liquified hydrides of phosphorus, sulfur, and the halogens, as conducting solvents. $-$ Part I. Proc. Roy. Soc. (London) 73,

450-3 (1904).

- Archibald and McIntosh, On the liquified hydrides 9. of phosphorus, sulfur, and the halogens, as conducting solvents. - Part II. Proc. Roy. Soa. (London), $73.454 - 5(1904).$
- Baud, Sur quelques combiniasons du chlorure et du 10. fluorure d'aluminium. II. - Combiniasons avec 1^* hydrogene sulfure. Ann. chim. phys., 8, 1, 28-32 $(1904).$
- Antony and Magri, L'idrogeno solborato liquido 11. come solvente. Gazz. chim. ital., 35, 206-26 $(1905).$
- Lang and Carson, The interaction of hydrogen sul- $12.$ fide and sulfur dioxide. Proc. Chem. Soc., 21, 158-60 (1905).
- Steel. McIntosh and Archibald. The halogen $13.$ hydrides as conducting solvents. Part $I - The$ vapour pressures, densities, surface energies and viscosities of the pure solvents. Part II - The conductivity and molecular weights of dissolves substances. Part III - The transport numbers of certain dissolved substances. Fart $IV - The$ abnormal variation of molecular conductivity, etc.

 $-4.3-$

Trans, Roy. Soc. (London), A. 205. 99-167 (1906). $14.$ Magri. Ricerche sopra solventi inorganici basse temperature. Atti, accad. Lincel, V. 16, 171-8 (1907) .

- 15. Magri, Ricerche sopra i solventi SO₂ e H₂S liquefatti. Atti. accad. Lincei, V, 16, 304 (1907).
- 16. Magri, Ricerche sopra il solvente H₂S liquefatto. Atti, accad, Lincei, V, 16, 518-25 (1907).
- $17.$ Elliot and McIntosh, The heats of vaporization of the liquid halogen hydrides and of sulfuretted hydrogen. J. Phys. Chem., 12, 163-6 (1908).
- Estreicher and Schnerr, Über die Verdampfungswarme 18. einiger verflüssigter Gase. Chem. Zentr. IV. 1737 (1910).
- Cardoso and Armi, Constantes critiques de 19. l'éthylène der protoxyde d'azote et de l'hydrogène sulfure. J. chim. phys., 10, 504-8 $(1912).$
- Maass and McIntosh, The vapor pressures of the $20.$ halogen hydrides and of hydrogen sulfide. Proc. Trans. Roy. Soc. Can., III, <u>8</u>, 65-72 (1914).
- Thiel and Schulte, Uber binare Gleichgewichts- $21.$ systeme mit festem Kohlendioxide. Z. physik.

Chem., 96, 312-42 (1920).

- Quam, A study of reactions in liquid hydrogen $22.$ sulfide. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 47, 103-8 (1925).
- 23. Quam with Wilkinson, Conductance in liquid hydrogen sulfide solutions. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 47, 989-94 (1925).
- Biltz and Keuneche, Beiträge zur systematischen 24. Verwandtschaftlehre. XXXI. Thiohydrate. Z_{-} anorg. allgem. Chem., 147, 171-87 (1925).
- Mathews, The interaction of sulfur dioxide and $25.$ hydrogen sulfide. J. Chem. Soc., 129, 2270-3 (1936) .
- Fournier and Lang, Sur l'inaltérabilité du fer, $26.$ du cuivre et du zinc du commerce par l'hydrogene sulfure liquide. Compt. rend., 184, 1174-6 (1927).
- $27.$ Ralston and Wilkinson, Reactions in liquid III. Thiohydrolysis of hydrogen sulfide. chlorides. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 50, 258-64 (1928).
- Satwalekar, Reactions of liquid hydrogen sulfide $28.$ on metals and oxides. Unpublished Cootoral Thesis. Library, Iowa State College, Ames, Iowa. $(1928).$
- Chipman and McIntosh, Liquid hydrogen sulfide as 29.

 $-44-$

an ionizing medium. Proc. Trans. Nova Scotian Inst. Sci., 16, 188-95 (1928). \mathbb{R}^n

 -45 $-$

- Meints and Wilkinson, Reactions in liquid $30.$ hydrogen sulfide. V. Reaction with furfural. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 51, 803 (1929).
- $31.$ Borgeson and Wilkinson, Reactions in liquid hydrogen sulfide. VI. Reactions with organic compounds. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 51, 1453-6 (1929).
- 32. King, The reactions of liquid hydrogen sulfide and hydrogen persulfide on organic compounds. Unpublished Doctoral Thesis. Library, Iowa State College, Ames, Iowa. (1930).